Guidelines issued by Central Vigilance Commission to public sectors to reduce losses

336. SHRI J. P. GOYAL: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) what are the reasons for ignoring the advice given by the Central Vigilance Commission to the public sector undertakings to tighten supervisory work to reduce avoidable losses; and

(b) what guidelines Government have issued or proposed to be followed strictly by the public sector undertakings, keeping in view various suggestions and recommendations made by the Cenrtal Vigilance Commission from time to time?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC ENTERPRISES IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (PROF. K. K. TEWARY): (a) and (b) The Central vigilance Commission is not concerned with reduction of losses and has not made any specific suggestions/advice to tighten supervisory work to reduce avoidable losses. The Commission has, however, been giving suggestions/recommendations from time to time regarding vigilance matters for reducing corruption and other malpractices in various Central Government enterprises. Based thereon, the Government takes appropriate steps from time to time for implementation by the public sector undertakings as the situation demands.

337. [*Transferred to the 30th April, 1987.*]

Setting up of a Supreme Court in South India

338. SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY: Will the Minister of LAW AND JUSTICE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the proposal to establish a Bench of the Supreme Court of India in the South has since been finalised;

(b) if not, what are the reasons for the delay; and

(c) the time by which the Bench of Supreme Court is likely to be set up in South India?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW AND JUSTICE (SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ): (a) and (b) Article 130 of the Constitution provides "The Supreme Court shall sit in Delhi or in such other place or places, as the Chief Justice of India may, with the approval of the President, from time to time, appoint." No proposal has been received from the Chief Justice of India, in this regard.

(c) Does not arise.

Backward districts in Punjab

339. SHRI HARVENDRA SINGH HANSPAL: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is a proposal under Government's consideration to declare certain districts as 'A' category backward districts in the Punjab State;

(b) whether it is a fact that at present there are 66 out of 188 blocks in the State as no industry blocks;

(a) whether Government propose to review the industrial development/economy of the Punjab State, and

(d) if so, in what manner?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) No, Sir.

(b) The information regarding No Industry blocks is not maintained Centrally.

(c) and (d) The Government continuously reviews the industrial development of Punjab at the official level as well as at Ministers' level.